



Peak District Local Access Forum

On: Wednesday 16 October 2024

At: Aldern House, Baslow Road, Bakewell

Agenda

Start: 10.00 am

1	Welcome and Apologies (MR)	
2	Minutes from the last meeting on 12 June 2024 (MR)	
3	Matters Arising from the Last Meeting not Covered by Agenda (CG)	
4	Health and Well-being Presentation (CG)	Clare Griffin
5	Active Travel Update and Terms of Reference for Sub-group (SS)	Sue Smith
6	Derbyshire Rights of Way Improvement Plan Update Including Report on Unrecorded Ways Sub-group Meeting (GM)	
7	Access Update - Update on Access for All and Anniversary Gates (SS) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access Update Appendix - Projects 	Sue Smith Sue Smith
8	Review of Directions - Consultation at Hollins Hill (SS)	Sue Smith
9	Members Reports	
10	Any Other Business <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Derbyshire Definitive Map On-line (MB) 	Martin Bennett
11	Date of Next Meeting (MR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chair for Next Meeting Theme of Next Meeting 	

Close: 1.00 pm

Lunch: Time Not Specified

*Background papers available

FIELD_SUMMARY	

This page is intentionally left blank

Peak District Local Access Forum

**Minutes of the Meeting held on Wednesday 12 June 2024
at Aldern House, Baslow Road, Bakewell.**

Members Present:

Charlotte Leech
Nick Doran
Martin Bennett
Councillor Ian Huddleston
Richard Entwistle
Clare Griffin
Jez Kenyon
Paul Richardson

Louise Hawson (Chair)

Craig Best
Cllr Susan Hobson
Austin Knott
Dave Savage
Charlotte Gilbert
Alastair Harvey
Geoff Nickolds
John Towe

Others Present:

Gill Millward, (DCC)
Rich Pett, (PDNPA)

Sue Smith, (PDNPA)
Mike Rhodes, (PDNPA) (Secretary)

1. APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from Ben Seal.

26. WELCOME & APOLOGIES

Apologies received from Ben Seal.

27. MINUTES FROM THE LAST MEETING - 21 FEBRUARY 2024

The minutes of the last meeting held on 21 February 2024 were agreed as a true record.

28. MATTERS ARISING FROM LAST MEETING NOT COVERED BY AGENDA

Any updates on the progress of Recreation Hubs should be reported back to LAF as the work on the National Park Management Plan develops. There is nothing to report back to the June meeting.

Charlotte Gilbert, Charlotte Leech and Craig Best were thanked again for their presentations on the perspective of land managers at the previous meeting. Charlotte Gilbert advised, following on from one of the identified problems of land ownership, that recently published NFU data recorded £2.4 million worth of stock had been killed or severely injured in incidents involving dogs UK wide in 2023. This is up from £1.8 million the previous year. These incidents will continue to be a challenge and worth coming back to at future LAF meetings.

Item 6

Louise advised that the consultation responses on the long term Directions at Diggle and West Nab have now been sent. An amendment on the West Nab Direction was on today's agenda for discussion later in the meeting under Item 9.

Item 7

Martin Bennett advised that the letter he was asked to draft at the February meeting to DCC

cabinet members regarding the work on roadside marking would need to be resent.

29. ELECTION OF CHAIR & VICE CHAIR

Louise Hawson's term as Chair of the Peak District Local Access Forum has ended after 2 years. Louise would like to step down at this point, and the Forum is now required to appoint a Chair and Vice Chair. No names have been put forward for the role of Chair.

Clare Griffin advised that she would not be able to put her name forward for the role of Chair because of the commitment required in between the main meetings. Other members may feel similar. Clare suggests that the position of Chair should be done on a meeting by meeting basis whereby the person appointed takes on the duties for the next meeting and any associated work up until the next meeting is due. Mike Rhodes was happy to go with that suggestion. The decision needs to be decided by members, and would need to be a standing item at the end of each agenda.

Dave Savage asked if the responsibilities of the Chair could be outlined. Louise set this out. An agenda is set at a pre meeting a month before each full LAF meeting. This is circulated to members. The Chair suggests the theme for each meeting, along with who might be asked to make any presentations to the committee. Minutes of the previous meeting are sent out to members for review, and to suggest any amendments that need to be made. Statutory items, such as TRO's or Directions, need to be identified for discussion and consultation. The Chair may also need to respond to any queries relating to LAF business.

Nick Doran suggested the Chair could be chosen by taking it in turns, possibly from an alphabetical list of names.

The next meetings are 16 October 2024 and 19 February 2025, which Clare advised, she could act as Chair for one of those meetings. Sue Smith suggested as each meeting has a theme, members may want to act as Chair where that theme matches their own particular experience, interest and knowledge as representatives on the LAF on behalf of their stakeholder groups. Health and Wellbeing is the suggested theme for the next meeting. If it was agreed to have a Chair on a meeting by meeting basis, the standing item on the agenda would need to be selecting both the theme and Chair for the next meeting.

Charlotte Gilbert stated that for the purposes of continuity, she was happy to continue as Vice Chair to support the role of Chair on a rotation basis, and she also advised that she would be happy to act as Chair for the next meeting. As the theme next time is Health and Wellbeing, Louise suggests that Charlotte acts as Chair in October, and that Clare Griffin makes a presentation.

Decision:

All members around the table agreed that Charlotte Gilbert acts as Chair for the next meeting on 16 October 2024, with a theme of Health and Wellbeing. No-one voted against.

The committee thanked Louise for her work over the last 2 years as Chair. It had been quite a difficult time for LAF members after the previous Chair, John Thompson, passed away. And also not the easiest time for Louise to take up the role at the end of the Covid epidemic. Louise confirmed that it has been a pleasure to act as Chair, and appreciated that everyone on the committee contributes to the Forum.

Action:

To have selection of Chair and theme as a standing item at the end of each agenda for future meetings.

30. ACTIVE TRAVEL - PRESENTATION

Tim Nicholson (PDNPA) – Transport Policy Planner and Matthew Eglin (PDNPA) Sustainable Travel Officer, gave a presentation on the project to produce a PDNP Walking and Cycling Plan in order to seek the Forum’s assistance in its development.

Tim gave some background detail of the Sustainable Transport Project. The PD National Park is a complex location, with many constituent authorities, and currently four Mayoral regions. 13.5 million people live within 1 hour of the PD National Park by car. Visits have increased after Covid. The majority of visits are by car, and there is less public transport provision since Covid.

A public transport accessibility study was undertaken in autumn and early winter 2022. 138 random postcodes outside the park were generated, travelling to 21 key locations in the National Park. The journeys had to meet certain criteria, such as staying at the destination area for at least 2 hours, and less than 30 minutes walking time to get on public transport. This generated nearly 3 thousand journeys. On average using public transport involved 3 separate services, with 23 minutes walking time between transport stops. Total travel time was 3 hours and 49 minutes, allowing for 3 hours and 17 minutes time available at the destination.

Public transport access was compared to access by car, including costs. This looked at where people were setting out from, and which locations in the National Park they visited. The research found that there are a number of villages and recreation hubs that can’t be accessed by scheduled public transport. Visits by public transport in comparison to travelling by car showed that more time was spent travelling than spent at the destination.

An online survey was carried out asking respondents about their most recent journey. A significant number travelling by car visited more than one PD destination, which is a much more difficult proposition for people using public transport. Cost wasn’t the main reason given for opting not to use public transport. Frequency of service and location of stops were seen as more significant. Almost a third of respondents visited on a weekly basis, with almost two thirds visiting at least every 2 weeks. Encouraging frequent visitors to use public transport or active travel would reduce the negative effects of visitor travel on the National Park.

A Transport Symposium took place in February 2023 to look at promoting increased use of public transport, which resulted in the establishment of a 3 year fixed term Sustainable Travel Officer Post, and provision of a £50k budget to support the project. Matthew Eglin then spoke about delivery of the project. His role as Sustainable Travel Officer is aimed at addressing the need to reduce transport emissions, and to look at ways to increase access to the NP by active travel and reducing carbon intensity of journeys.

Matthew advised that car ownership in the NP is high, and provision of public transport is low. Commuters into the Park make up a substantial amount of travel undertaken in the Peak District. Sustainable Travel Plans need to take into account the needs of residents, visitors and commuters. The current project phase will gather data, and is looking for funds to support research and programmes which improve travel, whilst both protecting the Park’s special characteristics and encouraging enjoyment of the PDNP.

Tim talked about the Active Travel England (ATE) bid in 2024 where each English National Park was successful in securing £100k funding from ATE. This has to be used to develop a Local Walking & Infrastructure Plan within 12 months. The work falls into 4 areas.

Producing the plan, data and evidence collection, facilitation and consultation, and scheme development. Tim went on to ask for LAF support to establish a sub-group to help produce a plan, and to widen out the project to difficult to access groups.

Geoff Nickolds asked about the figure of 26 million visitors per year, which seems high. Tim responded that the information comes from STEAM data for all National Parks looking at visits of 3 hours or more of 13 million, plus an equivalent number of 1 hour visits. This is a pre-Covid figure. What we've seen in the last year is on particular days, especially with good weather, there have been very high visitor numbers to certain sites. Matthew added that these figures are correlated with traffic data, and although exact figures are difficult to record, what can be gathered from the data is the frequency of visits going up or down over periods of time. Susan Hobson, who is a cyclist and an occasional bus user, said that the reliability of buses affects the number of people using the service. Transport providers should be part of the wider sub group. Given the number of visitors to the NP, the level of online survey response (362) was poor.

Craig Best commented that this was good research, and as some of the sites fall under National Trust ownership, it is useful information to include in NT plans. The National Trust secures good income from car parks which is all re-invested. Craig felt that it was a short period to come up with a plan, and suggested that NT could contribute project manager time.

Alastair Harvey said it was good to see the figures, and welcomed the idea of setting up a sub-group. The responsibility for provision of sustainable routes lies with local authorities, where there is a tendency to work in silos. Wider strategies are therefore a good idea. Yorkshire Water are looking at permissive routes around their sites. It might be possible to establish an off road, or quiet roads cycling route from Stockton to Langsett. They sponsor a bus in the north of their region, and are looking at sponsorship to other areas, but options are limited. Managing cars is a headache and parking charges don't seem to put people off visiting.

Jez Kenyon stated that cars give people freedom, and that cars will become greener. Improving access to car users increases access to a lot of people. This is about managing cars and we shouldn't be anti car. Understanding the total journey picture indicates that emissions due to visitor impact are low. The focus needs to be where the biggest emissions are.

Tim advised that the current project and related discussions are not anti car, but the impact is higher in some places than others. The provision of alternatives to car use has become less over time, and there are groups who can't afford to own a car. The aim is to give a choice and enable people to visit. Jez added that we should be positive about managing cars and parking as it brings in money. Matthew Elgin confirmed the point about emissions is correct. The discussions aren't anti car, but if people can use public transport it frees up roads and parking areas.

John Towe said that public transport is useful for people with disabilities. For some countries this provision isn't a problem, but globally there is a disconnect. There are some people who can't access the National Park. Tim advised that the project will be looking at accessibility issues, including walking, cycling and wheeling options. The trails are accessible for most users, but people need to be able to access the Park in the first case to access them.

Martin Bennet expressed an interest in being part of the sub group. He highlighted the Netherlands where people cycle everywhere, travel is integrated, with a good standard of public transport. Paul pointed out that the Netherlands is flat. Martin responded that wind is a factor though – and there are electric bikes for hills. The model for effective public transport systems is there. Paul also added that there are cost implications with electric

bikes. In order to increase cycle use into the PDNP, there should be reclassification and upgrade of some off road routes.

Tim set out that the first year development of the plan will be high level rather than the detail, but he will be working with constituent authorities to identify gaps in the network getting into the PDNP. It's a long process to change the status of a footpath to a bridleway. Paul added that the provision to carry bikes on public transport is poor.

Clare mentioned that there is useful data on where people go, through heat maps and working with STRAVA. This data can be used for managing parking. For example, the village of Wetton has a small car park, and parking can be a problem. A farmer has opened up a field for parking, but this information isn't generally available. There is no publicity for ad hoc parking places. Tim advised that there is a policy for ad hoc parking areas, so that people are aware what they can do without requiring planning permission, but then these locations aren't recorded. It could be useful to find a way to make this information more publicly available.

Craig mentioned the National Trust's 10 year strategy for Carbon reduction and ending unequal access to nature. Cars are used to carry kit that people need when they are travelling and visiting the area, but cycling and walking will be encouraged in relation to access rather than emissions. STRAVA data comes from a certain demographic, so we need to look at how data is collected. There is an anti-car perception from how the information is presented. We need to get over it. Money from car parking benefits people by improving access. It's questionable whether 28 days is enough for temporary parking provision. Roadside parking causes damage and maybe this could be formalised in some way, as well as potentially charging vehicles to park there.

In the case of Wetton car parking, Charlotte Leech said that the farmer opening up a field for parking helped the farmer both financially and with their own access issues. Promoting parking there could swamp the area with visitors. Car owners may want to use public transport, but it is too expensive.

Tim said that the ticketing system should be made easier, through transport authorities talking to each other and combining tickets. There could be a potential for more integrated working with the new mayoral authorities. Car use should be accepted, and the wider use of vehicles generally, such as camper vans. Paul added that increased traffic takes a toll on the roads, and road maintenance projects can cut places off for periods of time.

Action:

Charlotte Gilbert, as Chair for the next LAF meeting, will move the Peak District LAF Sustainable Transport Project sub-group forward. Craig Best, Alastair Harvey, Martin Bennett, Susan Hobson, Charlotte Leech, Jez Kenyon, Paul Richardson and Geoff Nickolds expressed an interest in being part of that sub-group

31. DERBYSHIRE RIGHTS OF WAY MANAGEMENT AND REPAIRS INCLUDING REPORT ON SUB-GROUP MEETING

Rob Greatorex, DCC Rights of Way (RoW), updated the Forum on Rights of Way management and repairs, including notes from the Peak District LAF sub-group meeting on 20/05/24. He also outlined the Capital spending programme for 2024/25.

From 13 May, Rob has a new role as Senior Project Engineer for Highways Inspections, with a remit for all highways inspections, the new roads and street works function at DCC,

and public Rights of Way. Rob oversees the work on RoW rather than having direct involvement.

Towards the end of 2023-2024 it wasn't possible to complete that year's programme due to adverse weather. This was compounded by a residue of works from previous years, so DCC have had to be realistic about what can be delivered for the 2024-2025 Capital Programme.

Hollowford Road at Castleton and the Long Causeway at Outseats are among the 30 minor schemes scheduled for 2024-2025. The proposed delivery of some schemes in the Peak District that haven't been completed in previous years include routes at Bamford, Edale, Birchover, South Darley, Monyash, Chinley, Holmesfield and Eyam.

The need for DCC to prioritise repairs to Derbyshire's roads has resulted in a backlog of rights of way schemes to be delivered on the ground. The programme of works is open to review as a result. Some schemes may have to come back onto the list for 2025/2026 or beyond.

Nick Doran noted that the aims this year were lower, with smaller projects compared to the previous year. Rob responded that they had probably been over ambitious previously, plus the impact of bad weather, which meant a relook at setting the schedule. The 2024/25 list is deliverable.

Martin mentioned the number of pot holes, but also that cycling routes are good. Could route maps and interpretation boards show definitive map numbers. Rob felt this was a good idea, and will look into it. Susan praised the fingerpost work that had been carried out.

Clare wondered how work was prioritised and Rob advised this was done using a scoring criteria of incoming enquiries from the public, parish councils etc as well as input from the LAF. Clare suggested that the LAF could help by feeding back information to DCC. Louise said that there was an annual meeting between LAF and DCC RoW to help prioritise route repairs.

Craig asked how DCC works with larger landowners such as the National Trust, where their priority work could help with resourcing for RoW maintenance. Although this was something to explore, Rob pointed out the complex procurement regulations within DCC and service level agreements with other organisations.

Austin Knott asked about the route at Bamford Clough, which has been closed for a while. Rob advised that they aim to sort this year with an experimental Traffic Regulation Order, where consultation responses need taking back to the Cabinet Member for guidance. BOAT 31 at Eyam has been washed out and has had to be temporarily closed. Austin queried why the route has to be closed to all users, when people can still walk and cycle on it. Rob advised that the route is very damaged, but it could be reviewed.

Austin commented on the good work done on signposts, but unfortunately some have been removed. Some could have been accidental, but others are malevolent. Stickers have been added to advise against removal which is a criminal offence. Rob confirmed that even though timber signposts have been installed, some way markers are still being removed or damaged.

Gill Millward commented on the 23 red routes discussed at previous sub-group meetings, where it was useful to have feedback from all the different user groups represented on the LAF. Louise encouraged LAF members to feedback about key routes at the sub-group meeting, which can provide a different perspective on current schemes. Feedback is mainly on Derbyshire schemes. LAF doesn't hear back from other constituent authorities on a routine basis.

Martin said that people can take secateurs to keep access on routes clear, for example, going over stiles. Rob advised that a vegetation clearance programme started in mid-May. 700 sites are treated each year, and most paths are visited twice.

Jez suggested that with the amount of surfaces being washed away, more drainage work rather than less should be carried out. Landowners would be happy for people to do their own maintenance, but there is no mechanism to connect up volunteer groups with landowner. The Land Registry can be accessed to check details of land ownership, but Martin suggested that this service should be made free and open to all. Louise added that drainage work should include working with landowners on schemes to hold water.

Action:

The report on Derbyshire RoW management and repairs is noted, along with that from the LAF Sub-Group meeting on 20 May 2024.

32. DERBYSHIRE CC ROWIP UPDATE

Gill Millward mentioned some of the highlights from the Derbyshire Rights of Way Improvement Plan (RoWIP) update report which had been circulated ahead of the meeting.

- **Aim 1:** Existing Rights of Way Network

This has been covered in the previous item with Rob Greatorex.

- **Aim 2:** Definitive Map and Statement

Work is ongoing to clear the increasing backlog of Definitive Map Modification Order applications.

- **Aim 3:** An improved network

An on-line consultation is underway until 24 June 2024 to collect people's views on the Active Travel Masterplan for the three market towns of Glossop, Ilkeston and Belper. LAF were encouraged to respond on the masterplan for Glossop. There will be a plan for Hope Valley coming up.

- **Aim 4:** Improve the promotion, understanding and use of the network

As the next LAF meeting will focus on health and wellbeing, Gill offered to find out more about the Move More/Nature Connection Map and whether someone could talk about this at the next LAF meeting.

- **Aim 5:** Greater community involvement 337

Volunteer contribution is important for carrying out much needed maintenance and improvements on Derbyshire's network of paths and trails. However, it does require managing, which can be with limited staff resources.

Susan commented on the progress with the White Peak Loop and was pleased to hear about the study into creating the Derwent Valley Cycleway. Small initiatives, such as locking bikes up safely, should be promoted.

Action:

The Update on the Derbyshire RoWIP is noted and will continue to be an agenda item for future LAF meetings.

33. ACCESS FOR ALL

Sue Smith updated LAF members on DEFRA Access funding, which is in Year 3, with funding of £155.8k.

Work identified for Year 3 takes forward three aims of: more miles, more places and more mobility. This includes a proposed Changing Place at Parsley Hay to complement the trampers on offer there. The Goyt Miles without Stiles route is already partly resurfaced and some of the funding will be used to double the length of the route.

After the meeting, LAF members were invited to go and look at the proposed improvements to the link between the Monsal Trail and Coombs Road, which includes better surfacing and hand rails to assist with the steep gradient. The High Peak trail at Hurdlow will also be improved. Miles without stiles route videos will allow people to view locations before visiting.

Martin commented that the Ramblers, as an outdoor group, campaign for the sorts of changes Sue has highlighted. More seating and resting places in landscaped areas are needed and provision of shelters given the amount of rain we have in the UK.

Sue said that in Year 1 of the funding, a ramp was installed to one of the former weighbridges on the Tissington Trail. The other weighbridges and shelters will be looked at in Year 3, and will include seating and interpretation boards.

Craig advised of grant money that had been accessed for Ilam Country Park, which wasn't a good site for anyone with mobility problems. Farming in Protected Landscapes (FiPL) funding means that improvements have been made to make the café, river and the bridge accessible. There is also an Ilam and Dovedale bid for Heritage Lottery Funding so that the National Trust can make further improvements. The exact plans are still to be determined. The NT is working with the LAF to look at transport issues at Mam Tor, Winnats Pass and Odin Mine, to explore ways that visitors can be spread out more across sites. An estimated 1 million people visit Mam Tor every year.

Nick suggested video route descriptions are worth encouraging in other scenarios, but need to be kept up to date. Louise agreed that these could benefit other people. Sue is considering whether videos may be an option for informing the public about new permissive paths. Currently the focus is on Access for All routes. People need to be confident in using the routes and they can be developed for use elsewhere and with different user groups.

Action:

The report is noted

34. ACCESS RESTRICTIONS CONSULTATIONS

Long term directions are reviewed every 5 years. The 3 that are due for review this year all involve areas where shooting takes place. Deer Hill and Diggle Rifle Range are larger in scale than West Nab. The consultation considers land management and the safety of people when they access these areas.

At Deer Hill and Diggle it is proposed that the restrictions continue. Opportunities will be sought for improving access on the areas around the sites.

At West Nab, a variation is proposed in order to improve the existing situation to give good visibility for people entering the site. Sue advised that the proposed variation on the direction proposes a new track through the site to link to the adjoining area of Access land, with the track to remain open 365 days a year. The LAF supported the work undertaken so far.

Austin asked if the review of directions looked at the access restrictions for dogs. Sue

responded that long-term directions are reviewed from the perspective of land management and public safety. A further long term direction to review this year is at Hollins Hill, where there is linear access with a dog restriction in place from May to October annually. There is no discretion to review restrictions at other sites, as they run for 5 years.

Action: The consultation response in Appendix 1 is confirmed and the LAF to respond to the consultation as set out in Appendix 2

35. ANNUAL REPORT

Mike Rhodes asked for LAF members to feed back to him on any themes or topics for discussion at future LAF meetings, along with any thoughts on the shape of the Forum in the future.

Action: That the Local Access Forum Annual Report 2023 be noted.

36. MEMBER REPORTS

- a) **Martin Bennett:** There had been a year long process of setting up a consultation plan for Derbyshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy. Martin had attended an engagement event at Shipley Country Park near Heanor.
- b) **Charlotte Gilbert: Update on the Trails Management Plan:** An update on the works that have been completed has been circulated. In addition to the work that is detailed in the Action Plan, the Trails Team has been responding to the structure survey work, with work on tunnels and bridges completed in line with the prioritisation for the 6-yearly inspections. A regular inspection regime is in place. Vegetation clearance is now part of the annual maintenance plan, and annual risk assessments are completed. Work to increase capacity at some car parks, and charging being introduced to further carp parks, is taking place in line with the Trails Management Plan. Charlotte asked if a link to the Trails Plan and NPMP could be circulated.
- c) **Charlotte Gilbert: National Park Management Plan (NPMP)**
LAF members had asked for an update on the NPMP which is the overarching plan for the Peak District National Park. The NPMP has now been updated for 2023-2028, and the National Park Authority works with partners and groups to deliver its aims.
 - The Partnership group oversees and reviews delivery and is made up of senior representatives from partner organisations such as Local Authorities and large landowners who have significant statutory duties. This group meets once a year.
 - The Delivery Group is made up of representatives from partner organisations to make sure the NPMP is being delivered. This group meets 4 times a year.
 - The Stakeholder forum is open to all stakeholder and partner organisations with an interest or wider role in NPMP delivery. The Forum meets once a year. The LAF is part of this wider stakeholder group. The forum gives partners an opportunity to influence the NPMP and vision with direct officer contact. It is a large group made up of around 80 partners. Stakeholders go to the wider group on specific issues. The LAF has been identified as a relevant group to contribute on only one area, which is to encourage and enable a strategic approach to actively manage prevention and mitigation of uncontrolled fires on moorland. There are other groups who have been asked to input on this issue. There is no fixed date for the first forum.

The first annual monitoring report is in the final stages of production, which is due to be published in July. Charlotte feels that the LAF only being asked to have input on fire management is a missed opportunity. She suggested that once the annual report is published, the LAF should ask for someone from the PDNPA to be present at a future LAF meeting, and that the LAF's scope and vision is included in other areas of the NPMP.

Louise agreed that LAF should be consulted on the NPMP. Mike felt this had been an

omission not to include LAF as part of the NPMP process. Martin mentioned that the Council for National Parks had produced a health check report on nature recovery, which was worth the group looking at in any input that is made to the NPMP.

Action: MJR to circulate links for the National Park Management Plan and Trails Management Plan to LAF members, and to ask that someone from the PDNPA speaks at a future LAF meeting and to consider wider feedback from the LAF in addition to moorland fire management.

37. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Charlotte and Martin are happy to remain as joint Vice Chairs of the LAF.

Decision: LAF members unanimously supported Charlotte Gilbert and Martin Bennett continuing as Vice Chairs to the Peak District LAF.

The meeting closed with a presentation to Louise for her work as Chair. Louise will remain as a member of LAF.

38. DATE AND VENUE OF NEXT MEETING -16TH OCTOBER 2024

Date of the next meeting is Wednesday 16 October 2024 at Aldern House, Bakewell.

The dates for 2025 meetings are Wednesday 19 February, Wednesday 11 June and Wednesday 15 October.

Meeting ended - Time Not Specified

e:\moderngov\data\agendadocs\1\0\9\00004901\\$\$minutes.doc

**__NU
MBER
_SUB
_1.**

Health and well-being: some resources and a reflection

Clare Griffin

LAF member since 2011

Amateur and generalist – orienteer; fell runner; trail rider; wannabe motorcycle adventurer – not particularly good at any of the above

Member of:

- The Fell Runners Association & Goyt Valley Striders
- British Orienteering (Grade C Controller) & Manchester and District O Club
- The Motorcycling Club; the Auto-Cycle Union; the TRF; RallyMoto
- The Bob Graham Club (member no. 1418)
- The Canal and Riverside Trust
- The National Trust
- The Hedgehog Preservation Society (life member)



Health and well-being: some resources and a reflection

Dr Clare Griffin

Research Integrity and Governance Manager

The Christie NHS Foundation Trust

- Quick tour of some resources to quantify the effects of access to green space
- Reflection on why we must keep pushing this agenda



Things we already know

Access to green and blue space can improve

- Levels of physical activity and willingness to exercise
- Mental health
- Wellbeing

Barriers to access

- Who has a public right of way? An analysis of provision and inequity in England and Wales: Dr Alex Chapman, Poorva Prabhu and Antony Scott [Volume 1 - Who has a Public Right of Way? \(ramblers.org.uk\)](#)

Stark inequality

- PRow provision is deeply unequal and missing from the communities that need it most.
- “The most deprived communities in England and Wales are missing out on the greatest proportion of PRowS...and would have around 63% more in their local area today if all PRow had been accurately registered in legal records”.





Page 16







Paul Ryding

We all know that being in nature is good for our health and mental well-being. But how does it work its magic? For example, what explains the finding that, following gall bladder surgery, people who could see a verdant scene from their hospital window recovered three times faster and needed far less pain medication than those who only looked out over a brick wall?

Finding the evidence - resources

RESEARCH ARTICLE | 8

A synthesis of health benefits of natural sounds and their distribution in national parks

[Rachel T. Buxton](#)  , [Amber L. Pearson](#) , [Claudia Allou](#)  , and [George Wittemyer](#) 

[Authors Info & Affiliations](#)

Edited by Arun Agrawal, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, and approved February 5, 2021 (received for review June 24, 2020)

March 22, 2021 | 118 (14) e2013097118

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2013097118>

Finding the evidence – resources from my world

- [Clinicaltrials.gov](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov)
- [Study Details | Blue-green Space Physical Activity: the "Parco Del Mare" Study | ClinicalTrials.gov](#)
- [Study Details | The Emotional, Physical and Cognitive Benefits of Purposeful Green Space Activities on Seniors | ClinicalTrials.gov](#)
- [PubMed](#)
- [green space - Search Results - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#)



Things we perhaps didn't know

Exercise throughout the Cancer Treatment Continuum

Studies have demonstrated consistently that exercise enhances physical and psychosocial function, resulting in an improved quality of life ^(11,26). Improvements in the side effects of chemotherapy treatment include reduced nausea, fatigue, anxiety, and depression and increased integrity of bone and muscle mass ⁽²⁸⁾. Exercise may improve timely completion of full-dose chemotherapy regimens, enhancing treatment benefit ⁽⁵⁾. Increased tumor sensitivity may occur in individuals exercising during chemotherapy administration ⁽²¹⁾. Aerobic exercise may be beneficial in the prevention and treatment of the cardiotoxic effects of doxorubicin and trastuzumab as well as in the improvement of cardiorespiratory fitness ^(28,34,38). The benefit of upper extremity exercise in the management of lymphedema in breast cancer has been documented, dispelling the myth of restricting use of the affected extremity ⁽³²⁾. Sixty percent of patients with breast cancer gain weight during adjuvant chemotherapy, which increases the risk of recurrence. Exercise can ameliorate this risk factor ⁽¹⁸⁾.

Page 18

- EXERCISE IS MEDICINE
- The Role of Exercise in Cancer Treatment
- Bridging the Gap
- Kimmel, Gary T. MD¹; Haas, Barbara K. RN, PhD²; Hermanns, Melinda PhD, RN, BC, CNE²
- [Author Information](#) *Current Sports Medicine Reports* 13(4):p 246-252. July/August 2014. | DOI: 10.1249/JSR.0000000000000068

- FREE



Things we perhaps didn't know

- [Spiritual care of cancer patients by integrated medicine in urban green space: a pilot study - PubMed \(nih.gov\)](#)
- [Program Guide – ASCO Meeting Program Guide](#)



Reflection

- Access to green and blue space (even photographs of it) and to the sounds and smells of the natural world can have a significant beneficial effect on mental and physical health.
- There are significant inequities in provision of access across England and Wales ([Volume 1 - Who has a Public Right of Way? \(ramblers.org.uk\)](#))
- Part of our role is to push for greater equity, against a background of austerity measures and competing demands for resource which sometimes 'shout louder' than access.
- Hope I've provided some novel resources for you to refer to in providing evidence.
- Exercise can significantly improve clinical outcomes and reduce the risk of recurrence in some cancers. Exercise in green space *may* be even more beneficial.
- 1 in 2 of us will get cancer. Let's keep pushing for more PRowWs and equity of access.



Peak District Local Access Forum

Date: 16 October 2024

Item: 5

Title: Active Travel Update

Author: Sue Smith

Purpose of the Report

The purpose of the report is to provide an update on the Active Travel England Capability Fund programme.

Background

In April 2024, the Peak District National Park received £100k of funding to enable National Parks and co-located authorities to develop inclusive active travel programmes. This includes preparing a National Park equivalent of a Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan by March 2025.

In June 2024, a report to the Forum proposed the establishment of an Active Travel Sub group, with additional representation to be agreed in consultation.

Update

Over the last few months, work has focused on consultations and obtaining data. This has included two workshops with constituent and neighbouring Highway Authorities and a workshop to be held imminently with major land owners.

Internet-enabled people counters have been installed to complement Strava data and visitor surveys. These provide feedback on the type and level of use to prioritise, monitor, and evaluate any identified improvements.

Feedback is sought on the results of the workshops and for further input into the development of a high-level network plan at the first Local Access Forum stakeholder meeting on 16 October 2024. The terms of reference for the sub-group are attached in Appendix 1.

Recommendation:

1. The report be noted.
2. The terms of reference for the sub-group be approved.

Appendix 1

Peak District Local Access Forum Active Travel Sub-Group Terms of Reference

Context

The Peak District Local Access Forum is a statutory body, appointed jointly by the Peak District National Park Authority (PDNPA) and Derbyshire County Council (DCC), as the advisory body on access and recreation matters in the Peak District. From time to time the Forum may establish Sub-groups to consider and report back on (or act on if delegated) matters referred to them by the Forum.

The National Park Authority has received funding from Active Travel England to support capability building activities that will enable National Parks and co-located local authorities and highway authorities to develop inclusive active travel programmes.

Purpose

The Active Travel Sub-group provides guidance and feedback on the emerging National Park Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (NPCWIP). This is likely to include:

- 1) Identification of networks for walking, wheeling, cycling, and horse riding
- 2) Prioritisation of key routes
- 3) Feedback and auditing for scheme planning and design
- 4) Providing an input to consultations
- 5) Sharing of experience and expertise

Submission of advice

Where advice is to be provided directly to a body which the Forum has a statutory function to advise, the meeting will be constituted and operate as a Forum meeting in accordance with the Regulations.

Membership

Chair: Local Access Forum Chair or Vice Chair

- 1) Forum members including the Chair/Vice Chair representing a balance of:
 - Landowners/managers
 - Recreation user groups
 - Conservation
 - Local interest
- 2) Peak District National Park officers and other Highway Authorities' officers as required
- 3) Others including Sustrans, Cycling UK, Byways and Bridleways Trust, British Horse Society, Disabled Ramblers, Experience Community, British Mountaineering Council.

Membership may change over time according to need and focus of actions.

Meetings:

The Sub-Group shall meet every 2-3 months.

Other agencies and organisations may be invited to meetings on specific areas of interest as necessary.

Peak District Local Access Forum

Date: Wednesday 16 October 2024

Item: 6

Title: Derbyshire's Rights of Way Improvement Plan (RoWIP) - Update

Author: Gill Millward, Countryside Access Improvement Officer, Sustainable Travel Team, Derbyshire County Council

Purpose of Report

To present Forum members with an update on progress towards the delivery of Derbyshire's Rights of Way Improvement Plan.

Aim 1: Existing Rights of Way Network

- **Surface condition, drainage and roadside signposts/ waymarking:** The revised list of rights of way schemes to be delivered in the Peak District LAF area in 2024/25 includes the following:
 - Monyash BOAT 29 Derby Lane – completed in August (pictured right).
 - Chinley FP 44 drainage and surfacing - design issued and awaiting start date.
 - Eyam BOAT 31 drainage and surfacing - design issued and awaiting start date.
 - Edale BW 19 (Jacobs Ladder/ Pennine Way) stone pitching – being priced by contractor.



Other schemes, including Bamford Clough, Chinley FP1, Eyam BOAT 31, New Mills BW54 and Birchover BOAT 23/ South Darley 46 (Clough Lane) have been deferred to future years due to a need to target funds for carriageway pothole repairs. Further funding of £120k is available in 2024/25 for the replacement of 400 missing or damaged roadside signposts on public rights of way across the county, with 2,000 waymark discs already purchased to improve waymarking along those routes reported to be difficult to follow.

Aim 2: Definitive Map and Statement

- In terms of dealing with the backlog of **Definitive Map Modification Order (DMMO) applications**, details of progress made between 24 May and 30 September 2024 is set out in the table below.

Legal Order Stage	June 2024 (Period from 24 May to 30 June)	July 2024	August 2024	September 2024
New DMMO applications received (10)	<p>04811 = Upgrade FP18 to BW, South Wingfield.</p> <p>04813 = Upgrade FP15 (part) and FP17 (part) to BW, New Mills.</p> <p>04814 = Upgrade FP27 (part) to BW and add BW to Woodnook Lane, Brampton.</p>	<p>04816 = Add FP from FP67 along Dumbles Lane, Denby.</p> <p>04817 = Add FP from Station Road to BW89, Denby.</p> <p>04818 = Add a FP from BW90 to FP82, Denby.</p> <p>04820 = Vary the particulars of FP47, Osleston and Thurvaston.</p> <p>04821 = Vary the particulars of FP14, Osleston and Thurvaston.</p>	0	<p>04824 = Upgrade FP28 (part) and FP29 to BW, Taddington.</p> <p>04825 = Vary the particulars relating to alignment of FP31, Kirk Langley.</p>
Informal consultation started (1)	04526 = Add RB from Ridge Top Lane to FP4, Hayfield	0	0	0
Decision made by DCC (2)	<p>04265 = Upgrade FP8 to BW, Abney & Abney Grange.</p> <p>Application rejected - Schedule 14 appeal submitted.</p>	0	<p>04526 = Add RB from Ridge Top Lane to FP4, Hayfield.</p> <p>Application approved but for FP status.</p>	0
Orders made (1)	0	0	04526 = Add FP from Ridge Top Lane to FP4 and modification of alignment of FP4 (part), Hayfield.	0
Cases passed to PINS (1)	04173 = Add BW and upgrade FP13 (part) to BW, Eaton & Alsop and Newton Grange.	0	0	0
Decisions received from PINS (0)	0	0	0	0
Orders confirmed (0)	0	0	0	0

FP = Footpath BW = Bridleway RB = Restricted Byway

Further information about each of the applications in the table above, including decisions made by DCC and the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) can be found through the County Council's on-line register.


- **Project 2026 Derbyshire:** Volunteers are continuing to investigate historic, unrecorded routes across Derbyshire with research records now created for 404 paths and 221 DMMO applications submitted to the County Council to date. The Joint LAF Unrecorded Ways Sub-Group met on 12 August to discuss how the processing of these applications could be made easier and how they might be prioritised in the light of an increasing backlog. The Sub-Group's conclusions/ recommendations will be reported to both Forums to agree the advice which will be communicated to the County Council.

Aim 3: An improved network

- **Pennine Bridleway National Trail (PBW):**

- On the Derbyshire section of the Pennine Bridleway, general maintenance was undertaken over the summer, predominantly on essential drainage work and vegetation clearance, with the continued help of Groundwork volunteers working at Wye Dale and Lantern Pike. The replacement of non-slip surfaces is being progressed for several road crossings, starting with the one on the A5012 (Via Gellia road) near Pikehall which will be refurbished when the Safer Roads Fund project gets underway this Autumn. Others, including those on the A6 at Blackwell in the Peak and the A515 at Pomeroy will be rolled out in the coming months with funding provided through the County Council's Highways Capital Programme.
- On the development side, investigative work is continuing for two missing sections of the National Trail around Glossop, with landowner discussions underway for a feasible alternative route into Gamesley which avoids Dinting Viaduct. Engineers have been assigned to progress the initial designs and preparatory work for the River Etherow section between New Road at Tintwistle and Woolley Bridge Road in Hadfield, including road crossings and a multi-user bridge.
- Grant bids submitted to the Pennine National Trails Partnership have been successful in securing funding totalling £58,899 to support on-going maintenance and further development work on the Pennine Bridleway in 2024/25, with match funding also provided by the County Council.

➤ **White Peak Loop:** 62km or 71% of the White Peak Loop (WPL) is currently complete. The missing gaps are from the end of the Monsal Trail at Topley Pike into and through Buxton to Harpur Hill;



from High Peak Junction near Cromford to Matlock Railway Station and from Old Station Close in Rowsley to Coombs Road just outside Bakewell.

Design and preparatory work are getting underway for

the missing section of the WPL from the end of the Monsal Trail at Topley Pike through Buxton to the Parks Inn at Harpur Hill. Surface

improvements have been carried out on a proposed section of the route across Temple Fields between Fern Road and Green Lane in Buxton, where it is hoped to carry out further surfacing and drainage works later in the year. A contract has been awarded for repairs to Public Rights of Way and a section of Coombs Road, between Rowsley and the



Monsal Trail, near Bakewell. The drainage, surface and accessibility improvements are due to start at the beginning of November 2024 and expected to be completed early in the New Year. Encroaching vegetation has recently been cleared along the section of the White Peak Loop between Harrison Way in Darley Dale and the Old Station Close car park at Rowsley, as well as from either side of Rowsley Bridleway 2 off Church Lane. More information about the WPL can be found here:

<https://www.derbyshire.gov.uk/leisure/countryside/access/white-peakloop/white-peak-loop.aspx>

- **Derwent Valley Trail:** Following the completion of the feasibility study commissioned by the County Council, we are developing recommendations for how to progress delivery of the different stages of this 68km multi-user route between Shardlow and Hathersage.

Active Travel Masterplans: Public consultations for the Active Travel Masterplans across the three market towns of Belper, Glossop and Ilkeston have been completed, identifying key issues and proposing further amendments. The overall response to the consultation was largely positive. However, concerns about pavement parking obstructing footways, particularly for those using mobility aids, were widespread. Public transport integration was another concern. Whilst bus services fall outside the project scope, we will emphasise improving access to these services through active travel. The needs of equestrian users were raised by the British Horse Society and DADLAF, although the masterplans will clarify that the focus is on walking, wheeling, and cycling, with horse riding considered primarily for rural leisure routes. Town-specific amendments will include integrating active travel with public transport in Glossop, along with new/improved surfacing, lighting, signage and crossing points. Also, Gamesley will now be included in the scope of the masterplan for Glossop. Following the adoption and publication of the three active travel masterplans, future steps involve



securing additional funding to develop these schemes and launching further public engagement activity to refine the plans with local input.

Separately, the **Hope Valley Active Travel Masterplan**

project is now underway, with two stakeholder engagement events held during September, which LAF members attended, and wider public consultation expected in November 2024.

- **Preparing a Local Nature Recovery Strategy for Derbyshire:** The County Council is working with The Young Foundation and University of Derby to develop Derbyshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy. This involves bringing together diverse perspectives from organisations, communities and citizens across Derbyshire and Derby to co-create a vision for nature recovery that responds to the needs of today and meets the challenges of the future. A series of stakeholder engagement events is taking place over Summer and early Autumn 2024 to gather views and knowledge from as many people as possible. Public consultation on the draft document is planned for early 2025 with the aim of publishing the strategy by Summer 2025. More information can be found here: <https://derbyshirenaturerecovery.co.uk/>

Aim 4: Improve the promotion, understanding and use of the network

- **Countryside Code Update:** During 2024, 'Shaun the Sheep' has helped reach over a million people with the message of *Respect, Protect and Enjoy*. Shaun will be continuing as an ambassador of the Countryside Code until the end of May 2025, attending events and featuring in a series of guides about responsible use of the countryside:



https://www.nationaltrail.co.uk/en_GB/countryside-code-and-shaun-the-sheep/

- **Walk Derbyshire:** The Walk Derbyshire App was officially launched on 1 July 2024 to help people find and explore local outdoor spaces and short walks near them. People were encouraged to add walks and spaces to the app, which had 868 downloads in the first month and twenty new walks added. There are several events taking place this Autumn with free guided walks as part of the Autumn Footprints, Derbyshire Dales and YHA Walking Festivals: <https://walkderbyshire.org.uk/everyday-walking/events/>



- **Cycle Derbyshire:** Each year we distribute around 13,000 copies of the Cycle Derbyshire leaflet/map to key visitor information points and venues, cycle hire centres, shops, pubs and cafes all around Derbyshire, as well as display stands in major transport hubs including Sheffield and Chesterfield railway stations (pictured left). The leaflet/ map is available on the County Council's website and paper copies can be



requested by contacting sustainable.travel@derbyshire.gov.uk

Aim 5: Greater community involvement

- **Volunteer contribution:** The Service Level Agreement (SLA) with Groundwork Five Counties is continuing in 2024/25. There were 154 volunteer days delivered in the first six months of the year, estimated to be worth around £30,723. Dedicated groups of volunteers have worked on rights of way in South Derbyshire, as well as on the Pennine Bridleway National Trail, White Peak Loop, Archaeological Way, Clowne Greenway and connecting paths, mainly carrying out much needed cutting back of encroaching vegetation and creating habitat piles using the cleared brush.

Recommendation: That Forum Members note this progress report for delivering Derbyshire's Rights of Way Improvement Plan.

Peak District Local Access Forum

Date: 16 October 2024

Item: 7

Title: Access Update

Author: Sue Smith

Purpose of the Report

The purpose of the report is to provide an update on issues related to access in the Peak District.

Access for All

The opening of the Millers Dale Changing Place on the Monsal Trail took place on 19th September 2024. It is fitting that this was on the 20th anniversary of the introduction of new access rights which increased access in the National Park by one third.

Approval has been given for a Changing Place at Parsley Hay on the High Peak Trail. This is proposed to be installed by end of March 2025. The two Changing Places are of innovative design to complement their surroundings.

More information on Changing Places within and surrounding the National Park can be viewed at www.peakdistrict.gov.uk/changingplaces. Details of the launch can be viewed [here](#).

Anniversary Gates

On the 10th anniversary of the introduction of access land in 2014, the Authority made a commitment to increase accessibility, supported by the launch of the Access Fund for donations.

The upgrade and mapping of access points on access land and identifying link paths was driven forward by the Anniversary Gates project running between the 70th and 75th anniversaries of the legislation which created National Parks.

Over this time, we have installed 70 Anniversary Gates across the National Park. Funded through donations to the Access Fund from Alpkit, from filming, from walking groups, from events, from individuals ranging from £5 to £5000, from the LAF, and from the Farming in Protected Landscapes (FiPL) programme. Installed by Peak Park Conservation Volunteers, Rangers, the Countryside Maintenance and Projects Team, and landowners.

Monitoring of access points by Rangers and volunteers is progressing through the development of the APapp, as summarised [here](#).

Authority Properties

Accessibility improvements are proposed across four of the Authority's minor properties at Green Lane Pits, Hard Rake, Taddington Dale, and Dirtlow Rake. 16 gates and 10 interpretation boards have been granted FiPL funding. The onsite information will also

be made available online, together with other measures to promote understanding and enjoyment at these sites.

Other access proposals include a replacement bridge in Wyedale to provide a link to the Trails and improvements at Warslow to replace stiles with gates and upgrade a flight of steps.

Recommendation

That the report be noted.




Access Projects


Sue Smith

Access & Rights of Way Officer

Anniversary Gates



- ✓ Replacing & renewing access points
- ✓ Linking access
- ✓ Signage



70 + Gates



Thank you



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK


Page 34

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2016
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /PeakDistrictNationalPark](#)
[t /PeakDistrict](#)



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2017
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /PeakDistrictNationalPark](#)
[t /PeakDistrict](#)




PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2018
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f](#) [t](#) [i](#) [n](#)



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2019
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /PeakDistrictNationalPark](#)
[t /PeakDistrict](#)



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2020
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /PeakDistrictNationalPark](#)
[t /PeakDistrict](#)



Peak District National Park Foundation
peakdistrictfoundation.org.uk

70
years
PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK
peakdistrict.gov.uk

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2021
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /peakdistrictnationalpark](#)
[t /peakdistrict](#)



Peak District National Park Foundation
peakdistrictfoundation.org.uk


PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK
peakdistrict.gov.uk

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2022
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /peakdistrictnationalpark](#)
[t /peakdistrict](#)



Peak District National Park Foundation
peakdistrictfoundation.org.uk

PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK
peakdistrict.gov.uk

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2023
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /peakdistrictnationalpark](#)
[t /peakdistrict](#)



Peak District National Park Foundation
peakdistrictfoundation.org.uk

PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK
peakdistrict.gov.uk

Thanks for your donation

Your donation will help us improve access in the National Park for the inspiration and enjoyment of all.

2024
peakdistrict.gov.uk/accessfund

[f /peakdistrictnationalpark](#)
[t /peakdistrict](#)

FiPL Minor Properties

Page 35

- Dirtlow Rake
- Green lane Pits
- Taddington rake
- Hard Rake



PEAK DISTRICT NATIONAL PARK

Welcome to
Green Lane Pits

A tranquil refuge for wildlife and people.
Please enter the site on foot and avoid cliff faces.

In pre-glacial times, sand accumulated in large depressions in the Limestone. This sand has been excavated for use in local industries leaving an intricate landscape of steep sided depressions, limestone cliffs, ponds and sand waste heaps. The geological features are some of the largest in the area and they are unique to this part of Derbyshire.

The flowers and wildlife that have colonised the pits are of interest because they contain species that are not generally found on the intensively managed limestone plateau surrounding the site.

www.peakdistrict.gov.uk





Field Scabious and Burnet Moth
© Peter Miles



Knapweed
© Peter Miles

FiPL River Wye Bridge



FiPL Warslow





Changing Place



Peak District Local Access Forum

Date: 16 October 2024

Item: 8

Title: Review of Long-term Directions Restricting Access

Author: Sue Smith

Purpose of the Report

The purpose of the report is to notify the Forum on the consultations for the review of open access land exclusions.

Background

In accordance with statutory requirements, the National Park Authority is reviewing its long-term directions to see whether they are still necessary and appropriate. In February 2024, the Forum received a report which identified the review process and the sites to be statutorily reviewed.

The reviews at Deer Hill, Diggle, and West Nab have been completed. The Forum's response to the proposed variation at West Nab, Bradfield is attached in Appendix 1. The existing direction was varied as proposed.

Hollins Hill

The restriction relates to an exclusion of dogs whilst cattle are calving or have calves at foot. The Forum considered the original directions in 2004/05, and the reviews during 2010, 2015, and 2020.

The consultation relating to the statutory review is attached at Appendix 2. The consultation ends on 29 November 2024.

Recommendation

- 1. That the LAF confirms its consultation response attached in Appendix 1.**
- 2. That the LAF considers whether they wish to make a response to the consultation in Appendix 2.**

Appendix 1



Peak District Local Access Forum
c/o Peak District National Park Authority Aldern House
Bakewell DE45 1AE

Sue Smith
Access and Rights of Way Officer
Peak District National Park Authority Aldern House
Bakewell DE45 1AE
by email: sue.smith@peakdistrict.gov.uk

17 June 2024

Dear Sue

REVIEW OF STATUTORY DIRECTION RESTRICTING ACCESS AT WEST NAB REFERENCE 2014037142

I am writing to you on behalf of the Peak District Local Access Forum (PDLAF), in response to the above Review, as set out in your consultation document dated June 2024. The Peak District Local Access Forum is the statutory body advising the Peak District National Park Authority and Derbyshire County Council on recreation and access matters within the LAF area. Forum members are drawn from a wide range of access interests including walking, climbing, cycling, horse riding, recreational motor vehicles, farming, land management, conservation, climate change and local business. The proposals in the consultation were shared with all LAF Members and discussed at our meeting on 12 June 2024. Members raised no objections and welcomed both the proposed variation to the way-marked linear route, and the provision of additional access at such times when no red flags are flying. In our view this represents a significant improvement in access for all users.

Yours sincerely

Louise Hawson
On behalf of the Peak District Local Access Forum

Copies by email to:
Peak District National Park Authority: Mike Rhodes
Derbyshire County Council: Gill Millward
Members of the Peak District LAF

Countryside and Rights of Way (CROW) Act 2000

REVIEW OF STATUTORY DIRECTION

SUMMARY FOR PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Prepared by the Peak District National Park Authority

October 2024

1. INFORMATION ABOUT THE PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Access Authority: Peak District National Park Authority
Relevant Authority: Peak District National Park Authority
Local Access Forum: Peak District Local Access Forum

The Peak District National Park Authority is about to review the following direction:

Land Parcel Name:	Direction Reference
Hollins Hill	2014097377

Your views on the current direction are sought to assist the National Park Authority in deciding whether the restriction is still necessary for its original purpose; and if so, whether the extent and nature of the restriction is still appropriate for the original purpose. Annex 1 sets out the statutory requirements.

2. SUMMARY OF EXISTING DIRECTION

Land Parcel Name:	Dates of Restriction	Reason for Exclusion
Hollins Hill	Dogs excluded between 01/05 to 31/10 until 31/12/2025.	Land Management

The National Park Authority made a direction in 2004 to restrict CROW access under section 24 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act to exclude dogs for the period 01 May to 31 October each year whilst cattle are calving or have calves at foot. The topography of the land is such that cattle and dogs could come across each other with little warning.

At the time of the last review in 2020, the applicant confirmed the importance of the land for calving and the lack of a suitable alternative. The direction is conditional on the availability of the concessionary path to access the land.

3. SUBMITTING COMMENTS ON THE REVIEW:

Reference:	Comments to:
Hollins Hill – 2014097377	sue.smith@peakdistrict.gov.uk

If you wish to comment on the review of this direction then please do so by **29 November 2024**.

A map accompanies this notice.

Using and sharing your consultation responses

Any comments you make, and any information you send in support of them, will help us to determine the application and / or determine if the restriction is still necessary in relation to the review or reassessment of a current direction.

We may wish to pass such comments or information to others in connection with our duties and powers under the open access legislation. This may mean for example passing information, including your name and contact details, to the Secretary of State or their appointees, the Planning Inspectorate or to the relevant access authority(s).

We will summarise all responses and place this summary on [the Government's consultation website](#). This summary will include a list of names of organisations that responded but not the names, addresses or other contact details of individual respondents.

There may also be circumstances in which we will be required to disclose your response to third parties, either as part of the statutory process for consideration of representations and objections about our decision, or in order to comply with our wider obligations under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004.

If you do not want your response - including your name, contact details and any other personal information – to be publicly available, please explain clearly why you regard the information you have provided as confidential. However, we cannot give an assurance that confidentiality can be maintained in all circumstances.

Annex 1

In accordance with statutory guidance, the Peak District National Park Authority (PDNPA) has a duty to:

- review directions of a long-term character no later than their fifth anniversary; and
- revoke or vary directions where necessary.

Under CROW section 27(3) the relevant authority must review, at least every five years, any direction it has given that restricts access indefinitely; for part of every year; for part of each of six or more consecutive calendar years; or for a specified period of more than five years.

During the review the relevant authority must, having regard to the interest of the public in having access to the land, consider whether the restriction is still necessary for its original purpose; and if so, whether the extent and nature of the restriction is still appropriate for the original purpose.

Before reviewing a long-term direction the relevant authority must consult:

- the local access forum
- the applicant or his successor in title, where reasonably practicable – for directions under section 24 or 25 made on application; or
- the relevant advisory body – for a direction made under section 26.

The authority must also publish a notice on a website that must explain that the authority proposes to review the direction in question; where documents relating to the review may be inspected and copies obtained; and that representations in writing with regard to the review may be made by any person to the authority by the date specified in the notice.

Once consultation is complete the relevant authority should have regard to any representations it receives before making a decision. If following the consultation, the relevant authority decides to:

- leave the original direction unchanged, the relevant authority should record the date that the decision was made and should schedule a subsequent review where necessary.
- vary a direction, the relevant authority must give a new direction under the same section that was used to give the original direction. If the new direction is long-term, it must be reviewed within five years of the date it is given;
- revoke a direction, the relevant authority must give a new direction under the same section to revoke it. There is no requirement to review the new direction;

Before varying or revoking a direction the relevant authority must: consult the original applicant or his successor in title, where reasonably practicable – for directions given under section 24 or 25 on an application; or consult the relevant advisory body – for directions given under section 26; and in either case, follow the consultation procedures set out in the relevant authority Guidance but only if it proposes to give a new direction that would restrict access indefinitely or for more than six months continuously.

This page is intentionally left blank